Controlling the net charge on a nanoparticle optically levitated in vacuum
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Optically levitated nanoparticles in vacuum are a promising model system to test physics beyond our current understanding of quantum mechanics. Such experimental tests require extreme control over the dephasing of the levitated particle’s motion. If the nanoparticle carries a finite net charge, it experiences a random Coulomb force due to fluctuating electric fields. This dephasing mechanism can be fully excluded by discharging the levitated particle. Here, we present a simple and reliable technique to control the charge on an optically levitated nanoparticle in vacuum. Our method is based on the generation of charges in an electric discharge and does not require additional optics or mechanics close to the optical trap.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.95.061801

Introduction. An endeavor at the forefront of contemporary physics is to test the limits of quantum mechanics, in particular regarding the decoherence of quantum states of matter [1–5]. In this context, a highly promising test bed is optomechanical systems, in which the motion of a mechanical degree of freedom is controlled via the forces exerted by light fields [6]. Great strides have been made toward bringing such mechanical oscillators from the classical Newtonian regime to the quantum ground state of motion [7,8]. Mechanically suspended micro- and nanomechanical systems suffer from inevitable loss mechanisms due to their clamped nature. In contrast, optically levitated particles at sufficiently low pressures are potentially coupled to their surrounding only via the radiation field, promising excellent control over the decoherence of the system [9,10]. Remarkable progress has been made to optically cool the center-of-mass motion of an optically levitated nanoparticle, putting ground-state cooling firmly within reach [11–16]. While at moderate pressures, the coupling to the surrounding gas is the dominant interaction dephasing the levitated particle’s motion, at sufficiently low pressures the radiation pressure shot noise of the light field trapping the particle emerges as another significant damping mechanism [15]. This optical dephasing mechanism, a consequence of the quantized nature of the light field trapping the particle, might be controlled using engineered quantum states of light [17]. While dephasing mechanisms due to optical and thermal interactions have been at the center of attention [18], the influence of fluctuating electrostatic forces acting on optically levitated nanoparticles has remained experimentally unaddressed thus far. These forces arise from fluctuating fields in the environment through the Coulomb interaction, if the levitated particle carries a net charge [19,20]. On the one hand, controlling the net charge on the particle, and in particular discharging it to zero net charge, could fully exclude any dephasing due to such fluctuating fields. On the other hand, being able to adjust the net charge on the particle to a known finite value provides a reliable handle for exerting a known force by applying an electric field [21–23]. For optically levitated micrometer-sized dielectric spheres, two methods for changing the net charge have been described. First, the emission of photoelectrons in a multiphoton process was reported for microspheres levitated in laser fields at visible frequencies [24]. This effect can be used only for discharging initially negatively charged particles and does not allow for reversible charging and discharging. Furthermore, controlled charging and discharging of optically levitated microspheres using a single-photon photoelectric effect has been demonstrated [21,25,26]. This approach requires optical access to the particle at ultraviolet wavelengths to remove electrons. Unfortunately, such wavelengths are incompatible with the typical infrared optics used for trapping. Furthermore, the limited cross section for photoelectron emission for submicrometer-sized particles may be a reason why controlling the net charge on an optically levitated nanoparticle has remained elusive to date.

In this Rapid Communication, we report a method to measure and control the net charge on an optically levitated nanoparticle in vacuum. Our approach stands out due to its simplicity, requiring no additional optical or mechanical components beyond those required for trapping a particle and detecting its motion. The reported technique harnesses an electric discharge at low vacuum and enables us to control the net charge on the levitated particle with single elementary-charge precision, including zero net charge, before bringing it to high vacuum without changing its charge state.

Experimental setup. Our experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1(a). A laser beam (1064 nm, 50 mW) is focused by a nominal diameter of 136 nm and mass $m = 3 \times 10^{-18}$ kg. To load the trap, we spray small droplets of a solution of particles suspended in isopropanol into the focal region. To infer the particle position, we use a separate measurement beam (780 nm, 3 mW), coaligned with the trapping beam. Both beams, together with the scattering from the particle, are recollimated after the trap by a collection lens. After dumping the trapping beam, the measurement beam is directed to a photodetector, where the interference of the measurement beam with the scattering from the particle provides a signal proportional to the particle’s position along the optical axis, which we choose to be the $z$ axis. To lowest order in displacement, the particle’s center-of-mass motion resembles a harmonic oscillator. Figure 1(b) shows the power spectrum of the detector signal at a pressure of 10 mbar. The spectrum of the thermally driven particle is described well by a Lorentzian
function [solid line in Fig. 1(b)], whose angular center frequency \( \Omega_z \) is given by the stiffness of the optical trap. The width of the Lorentzian is set by the damping rate \( \gamma \) due to the viscous damping by the surrounding gas. Finally, the area under the Lorentzian power spectrum by definition equals the variance \( \langle \Delta z^2 \rangle \) of the particle’s position, which has to fulfill the equipartition theorem \( m \Omega_z^2 \langle \Delta z^2 \rangle = k_B T \) where \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant and \( T \) is room temperature. Making use of the equipartition theorem, we can convert the detector output voltage into a position in meters.

To detect the net charge on the particle, we drive the particle motion with an electric field. To this end, we ground the metal housing of the microscope objective and apply a voltage \( U(t) = U_0 \cos(\omega_d t) \) to the metal holder of the collection lens, thereby forming a capacitor, as depicted in Fig. 1(a). This method of applying an electric field does not require any additional mechanical components in the setup and therefore does not obstruct access to the particle. Figure 2(a) shows a false-color plot of the electric field strength \( E_z \) simulated in a plane containing the optical axis, when a potential of 10 V is applied to the metallic collection lens holder, \( n = 1.4 \). Figure 2(b) shows a typical time trace of the demodulated detector signal in quadrature with the drive, expressed as the oscillation amplitude of the particle, at a drive voltage \( U_0 = 10 \) V. The phase of the reference signal has been adjusted for the in-phase component of the signal to vanish, despite a small detuning of the drive frequency \( \omega_d \) from the particle’s resonance \( \Omega_z \). The high voltage is switched on at time \( t = 0 \) in Fig. 2(b). As soon as the high voltage is on, the signal changes in discrete steps, which we interpret as a signature of single elementary-charge transfer to and from the nanoparticle. The demodulated signal can assume both positive and negative values, since the response to the driving field flips phase by \( \pi \) when the polarity of the charge changes. Knowing the transfer functions of our electronics, we can associate states with positive (negative) net charge to positive (negative) signal amplitudes. In Fig. 3(b), we indicate regions of positive (negative) net charge with red (blue) shading.

To demonstrate the stability of the charge state in the absence of the discharge, we show the demodulated detector signal for an experiment where the high voltage is switched off at time \( t = 0 \), while the particle carries the net charge \( 1e \), shown in Fig. 3(c). Clearly, the charge state of the particle remains constant over the remainder of the measurement. Importantly, we have never observed the charge state of the particle change while the high-voltage source is turned off, even over a period of several days. Furthermore, we have discharged particles at a pressure around 1 mbar and subsequently brought them to pressures in the range of \( 10^{-5} \) mbar. At this low pressure, we have never observed any measurable response of the particle to a driving field.

**Results and discussion.** In Fig. 3(b), we show a typical time trace of the demodulated detector signal in quadrature with the drive, expressed as the oscillation amplitude of the particle, at a drive voltage \( U_0 = 10 \) V. The phase of the reference signal has been adjusted for the in-phase component of the signal to vanish, despite a small detuning of the drive frequency \( \omega_d \) from the particle’s resonance \( \Omega_z \). The high voltage is switched on at time \( t = 0 \) in Fig. 3(b). As soon as the high voltage is on, the signal changes in discrete steps, which we interpret as a signature of single elementary-charge transfer to and from the nanoparticle. The demodulated signal can assume both positive and negative values, since the response to the driving field flips phase by \( \pi \) when the polarity of the charge changes. Knowing the transfer functions of our electronics, we can associate states with positive (negative) net charge to positive (negative) signal amplitudes. In Fig. 3(b), we indicate regions of positive (negative) net charge with red (blue) shading.

To demonstrate the stability of the charge state in the absence of the discharge, we show the demodulated detector signal for an experiment where the high voltage is switched off at time \( t = 0 \), while the particle carries the net charge \( 1e \), shown in Fig. 3(c). Clearly, the charge state of the particle remains constant over the remainder of the measurement. Importantly, we have never observed the charge state of the particle change while the high-voltage source is turned off, even over a period of several days. Furthermore, we have discharged particles at a pressure around 1 mbar and subsequently brought them to pressures in the range of \( 10^{-5} \) mbar. At this low pressure, we have never observed any measurable response of the particle to a driving field.
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by the high voltage [28]. We conjecture that the attraction
of charges of opposite polarity further helps to keep the net
charge on the particle within less than typically ten elementary
charges. Furthermore, we note that the long-time average
of the charge on the nanoparticle is $-1.7e$ in the measurement
shown in Fig. 3(b). We speculate that this fact could be a
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exected for asymmetric electrode geometries [29].
We also point out that the rate at which the charge jumps occur peaks
at pressures around 0.1–1 mbar, an observation that could indicate a maximum in the density of ionized species present
in the chamber.

We now provide a further cross-check of our claim that
the plateaus observed in Fig. 3(b) are indeed due to single
elementary-charge transfer. From the power spectrum of the
thermally driven particle [red line in Fig. 3(a)], we know the
damping rate $\gamma$ and the eigenfrequency $\Omega_0$, defining
the transfer function of the harmonic oscillator. Accordingly,
from the amplitude measured at the single-charge plateau,
and assuming the currently accepted value for the elementary
charge $e$, we can deduce the field strength $E_z$ acting on
the particle along the optical axis. We obtain a value of
$E_z = -2.72$ kV/m, which is in good agreement with the
simulation of the field at the trap position shown in Fig. 2(b),
yielding a value of $E_{z\text{sim}} = -2.77$ kV/m.

Let us turn to the analysis of the sensitivity of our charge
measurement. Our technique is based on sensing the Coulomb
force acting on the particle. We expect the sensitivity of our
charge measurement to be limited by thermal effects, since at
the driving frequency $\omega_0$ the thermal population of the particle
largely exceeds any other noise present in the system, as shown
in Fig. 3(a). In our case, the thermal population of the oscillator
in the measurement bandwidth corresponds to a standard
deviation of the position $\sqrt{\langle z^2_{\text{thermal}} \rangle} = 3.4$ nm. This value is
in good agreement with the residual measured amplitude
$\tilde{z}_0 = 2.5$ nm at the zero-charge state in Fig. 3(b). Accordingly,
our charge sensor is operating at the thermal sensitivity limit,
where the noise-equivalent charge $q_{\text{ne}}$ is determined by the
strength of the thermal force (set by the temperature $T$
and the damping rate $\gamma$) within the measurement bandwidth
$\Delta f$, leading to $\langle q_{\text{ne}}^2 \rangle = 4k_B T m \gamma \Delta f / E^2$, with $E$ the applied
electric field [30]. At the operating conditions discussed in
Fig. 3, the noise-equivalent charge is $q_{\text{ne}} = 0.15e$, which agrees with the experimental accuracy observed in Fig. 3(b).
We note that the charge sensitivity can be boosted by operating
at lower gas pressures and lower gas temperatures.

Conclusion. In conclusion, we have presented a method
to measure and control the charge on an optically levitated
nanoparticle in vacuum. Importantly, being sensitive to the
charge-to-mass ratio, our method is equally suitable to measure
the mass of optically levitated objects after adjusting their
charge. This ability may prove useful in the characterization
of more complex levitated objects, fabricated with limited

![Fig. 3. (a) Power spectral density $S_\zeta$ of the motion along the optical axis of a charge-carrying particle at a pressure of 1.9 mbar in the presence of a drive tone at $f_d = \omega_0/(2\pi)$ applied to the capacitor. The solid line is a Lorentzian function fit to the data. (b) Quadrature component of particle oscillation in response to a driving voltage $U_0 = 10$ V, demodulated in a bandwidth of 7 Hz. The high-voltage (HV) discharge is turned on at $t = 0$. The oscillation amplitude changes in discrete steps while the high voltage is on. (c) Preparation of charge state. The high voltage is turned off at $t = 0$, while the particle carries a net charge of $1e$. The charge stays constant over the remainder of the measurement.](https://example.com/fig3.png)
homogeneity [31,32]. Our approach is well suited to be implemented in more elaborate trapping setups, especially those involving optical resonators with the goal of ground-state cooling [14,33], where access to the optical trap is usually heavily restricted. Furthermore, the possibility to control the charge on a levitated nanoparticle generates new opportunities for feedback cooling by applying a direct damping force [34], for force sensing, including probing surface interactions at microscopic length scales [27,35–37], and for exploiting novel nonlinear sensing principles [38]. Finally, our work suggests that a levitated nanoparticle could be used as a sensitive probe to investigate nonequilibrium physics on a microscopic scale inside a plasma [39,40].
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